

Response to First Office Action
Docket No. 011.0262.US.UTL

Amendments to the Claims

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

1 1. (currently amended): A system for determining a natural color
2 depth of a digital image, comprising:
3 a color distance module determining color distances between each pair of
4 colors in a color palette stored for a digital color image;
5 a merge module selecting a closest neighboring color for each color in the
6 color palette separated by a substantially minimum color distance, and merging,
7 in iterative sequence, the colors in each color pair that [[is]] are visually indistinct.

1 2. (original): A system according to Claim 1, further comprising:
2 an averaging module generating a weighted average of the colors in each
3 color pair that are visually indistinct.

1 3. (original): A system according to Claim 2, wherein the weighted
2 average z is calculated, in accordance with the equation:

$$3 \quad z = \frac{[count(x) \times x] + [count(y) \times y]}{count(x) + count(y)};$$

4 where x and y are the colors in the color pair, and $count()$ denotes the number of
5 occurrences of each color in the digital color image.

1 4. (original): A system according to Claim 1, wherein visual
2 distinctness is determined based on an output of a function f of visual area, in
3 accordance with the equation:

$$4 \quad f(x) = \frac{c}{x + c(y_2 - y_1)^{-1}} + y_1;$$

Response to First Office Action
Docket No. 011.0262.US.UTL

5 where x is a visual area occupied by one of the colors in the color pair, y_1 denotes
6 a horizontal asymptote, y_2 denotes a y -intercept, and c represents a fitted curve
7 constant.

1 5. (original): A system according to Claim 4, further comprising:
2 a visualization module determining visual distinction Δ , in accordance
3 with the equation:

$$4 \quad \Delta(x, y) = \begin{cases} \text{TRUE}, & \text{if } |x - y| \geq f(v(x)); \\ \text{FALSE}, & \text{if } |x - y| < f(v(x)); \end{cases}$$

5 where v is a visual area comprising a largest four-way block of contiguous pixels
6 of one of the colors in the color pair.

1 6. (currently amended): A method for determining a natural color
2 depth of a digital image, comprising:
3 determining color distances between each pair of colors in a color palette
4 stored for a digital color image;
5 selecting a closest neighboring color for each color in the color palette
6 separated by a substantially minimum color distance; and
7 merging, in iterative sequence, the colors in each color pair that [[is]] are
8 visually indistinct.

1 7. (original): A method according to Claim 6, further comprising:
2 generating a weighted average of the colors in each color pair that are
3 visually indistinct.

1 8. (original): A method according to Claim 7, further comprising:
2 calculating the weighted average z , in accordance with the equation:

$$3 \quad z = \frac{[\text{count}(x) \times x] + [\text{count}(y) \times y]}{\text{count}(x) + \text{count}(y)};$$

4 where x and y are the colors in the color pair, and $\text{count}()$ denotes the number of
5 occurrences of each color in the digital color image.

Response to First Office Action
Docket No. 011.0262.US.UTL

1 9. (original): A method according to Claim 6, further comprising:
2 determining visual distinctness based on an output of a function f of visual
3 area, in accordance with the equation:

$$4 \quad f(x) = \frac{c}{x + c(y_2 - y_1)^{-1}} + y_1;$$

5 where x is a visual area occupied by one of the colors in the color pair, y_1 denotes
6 a horizontal asymptote, y_2 denotes a y-intercept, and c represents a fitted curve
7 constant.

1 10. (original): A method according to Claim 9, further comprising:
2 determining visual distinction Δ , in accordance with the equation:

$$3 \quad \Delta(x, y) = \begin{cases} \text{TRUE}, & \text{if } |x - y| \geq f(v(x)); \\ \text{FALSE}, & \text{if } |x - y| < f(v(x)); \end{cases}$$

4 where v is a visual area comprising a largest four-way block of contiguous pixels
5 of one of the colors in the color pair.

1 11. (original): A computer-readable storage medium holding code for
2 performing the method according to Claims 6, 7, 8, 9, or 10.

1 12. (original): A system for reducing a color palette of a digital image
2 to a natural color depth, comprising:
3 a distance module selecting a neighboring color closest in color distance in
4 a color space for each unique color in the color palette of a digital image; and
5 a merge module merging the unique color and the closest neighboring
6 color, comprising determining visual distinctness of the unique color based on the
7 visual area occupied by the unique color in the digital image, and combining the
8 unique color and the closest neighboring color when visually indistinct and
9 reducing the color palette by replacing all occurrences of the unique color and the
10 closest neighboring color with the combined color.

Response to First Office Action
Docket No. 011.0262.US.UTL

1 13. (original): A system according to Claim 12, wherein selecting the
2 closest neighboring color and merging the unique color and the closest
3 neighboring color is repeated until no further colors in the color palette merge.

1 14. (original): A system according to Claim 12, further comprising:
2 a statistical module counting occurrences of the unique color, counting
3 occurrences of the closest neighboring color, and calculating a weighted average
4 of the unique color and the closest neighboring color to combine the unique color
5 and the closest neighboring color.

1 15. (original): A system according to Claim 12, further comprising:
2 a visual distinctness module evaluating the visual area occupied by the
3 unique color relative to color distances in the color space from other colors to
4 determine a threshold below which the unique color and any other such color are
5 visually indistinct.

1 16. (original): A system according to Claim 15, wherein visual
2 distinctness is set as occurring when the color distance between the unique color
3 and the closest neighboring color exceeds the threshold.

1 17. (original): A system according to Claim 15, wherein the color
2 distance is determined as a Euclidean distance in the color space.

1 18. (original): A system according to Claim 12, wherein the digital
2 image is received as an output from another color reduction process.

1 19. (original): A system according to Claim 12, wherein the digital
2 image is forwarded as an input to another color reduction process.

1 20. (original): A system according to Claim 12, further comprising:

Response to First Office Action
Docket No. 011.0262.US.UTL

2 a non-natural color depth color reduction process performing a color
3 reduction of the color palette of the digital image prior reducing the color palette
4 to a natural color depth.

1 21. (original): A system according to Claim 12, further comprising:
2 a compression module compressing the digital image following reduction
3 of the color palette to a natural color depth.

1 22. (original): A system according to Claim 12, wherein the color
2 space is selected from the group comprising CIELAB, RGB, sRGB, YUV, HSV,
3 HSB, and YCbCr.

1 23. (original): A method for reducing a color palette of a digital image
2 to a natural color depth, comprising:
3 selecting a neighboring color closest in color distance in a color space for
4 each unique color in the color palette of a digital image; and
5 merging the unique color and the closest neighboring color, comprising:
6 determining visual distinctness of the unique color based on the
7 visual area occupied by the unique color in the digital image; and
8 combining the unique color and the closest neighboring color when
9 visually indistinct and reducing the color palette by replacing all occurrences of
10 the unique color and the closest neighboring color with the combined color.

1 24. (original): A method according to Claim 23, further comprising:
2 repeatedly selecting the closest neighboring color and merging the unique
3 color and the closest neighboring color until no further colors in the color palette
4 merge.

1 25. (original): A method according to Claim 23, further comprising:
2 counting occurrences of the unique color;
3 counting occurrences of the closest neighboring color; and

Response to First Office Action
Docket No. 011.0262.US.UTL

4 calculating a weighted average of the unique color and the closest
5 neighboring color to combine the unique color and the closest neighboring color.

1 26. (original): A method according to Claim 23, further comprising:
2 evaluating the visual area occupied by the unique color relative to color
3 distances in the color space from other colors to determine a threshold below
4 which the unique color and any other such color are visually indistinct.

1 27. (original): A method according to Claim 26, further comprising:
2 setting visual distinctness as occurring when the color distance between
3 the unique color and the closest neighboring color exceeds the threshold.

1 28. (original): A method according to Claim 26, further comprising:
2 determining the color distance as a Euclidean distance in the color space.

1 29. (original): A method according to Claim 23, further comprising:
2 receiving the digital image as an output from another color reduction
3 process.

1 30. (original): A method according to Claim 23, further comprising:
2 forwarding the digital image as an input to another color reduction
3 process.

1 31. (original): A method according to Claim 23, further comprising:
2 performing a color reduction of the color palette of the digital image prior
3 reducing the color palette to a natural color depth.

1 32. (original): A method according to Claim 23, further comprising:
2 compressing the digital image following reduction of the color palette to a
3 natural color depth.

Response to First Office Action
Docket No. 011.0262.US.UTL

1 33. (original): A method according to Claim 23, wherein the color
2 space is selected from the group comprising CIELAB, RGB, sRGB, YUV, HSV,
3 HSB, and YCbCr.

1 34. (original): A computer-readable storage medium holding code for
2 performing the method according to Claims 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32,
3 or 33.